

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAIN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ) MDL No. 1917  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION )  
) Case No. C-07-5944-SC) ORDER REGARDING  
This Order Relates To: ) SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSIONS IN  
ALL ACTIONS ) SUPPORT OF MOTIONS AND IN  
) PREPARATION FOR THE CASE  
) STATUS CONFERENCE

On August 7, 2015, the parties will meet for a case status conference. Numerous parties have settled some or all of their claims, mooting certain motions, delaying others, and causing still others to become ripe for ruling. The Court issues this order to simplify and streamline the parties' submissions, the Court's consideration of those submissions, and maximize the efficiency of the Court and all parties during the status conference.

First, the Court ORDERS parties to identify and jointly file a single list of any tag-along cases presently pending transfer to the Court by the JPMDL or any other known cases related to this MDL known by counsel but not at present subject to a motion to transfer

1 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. For each such case, include the case  
2 name, an ECF or docket number, the jurisdiction in which it is  
3 presently pending, and (if applicable) the reason the case is not  
4 subject to a motion to transfer.

5 Second, the Court ORDERS Class Counsel for Direct Purchaser  
6 Plaintiffs (DPPs), Class Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs  
7 (IPPs), and DAP Liaison Counsel to jointly file a single list of  
8 which cases associated with this MDL can be terminated and in which  
9 pending motions can be terminated. This list will help the Court  
10 determine which motions remain active in light of the numerous  
11 recent settlements and other dismissals. A list of cases  
12 associated with this MDL as generated by ECF is attached to assist  
13 in the completion of this list.

14 Third, the Court ORDERS DAPs' Liaison Counsel to identify all  
15 DAP cases that will be subject to remand upon completion of  
16 pretrial matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 and the Supreme  
17 Court's decision in Lexecon, Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes &  
18 Lerach, 523 U.S. 26 (1998). Also note if the parties presently  
19 anticipate settling the matter or proceeding to trial.

20 Fourth, the Court ORDERS all DAP Defendants to jointly file a  
21 single list of all DAP cases that will remain for trial within this  
22 Judicial District after all pre-trial matters have been resolved.  
23 Cross list by Defendant, the case name, ECF number, and each  
24 plaintiff that is asserting a claim against the Defendant.

25 Fifth, the Court ORDERS that if a case will remain in this  
26 district, the parties to such actions shall confer and file a  
27 joint, single submission proposing how the parties propose to try  
28 the case. This submission should include a schedule as complete as

1 possible to maximize the efficiency of all relevant parties at the  
 2 upcoming case status conference. Notwithstanding any other  
 3 deadlines set herein, this list shall be due to the Court not later  
 4 than 10 days prior to the scheduling conference.

5 Sixth, the Court ORDERS the parties to file a single, joint  
 6 spreadsheet or other document showing, in tabular format, any  
 7 pending motion that the parties believe to be claim or party  
 8 dispositive. This will assist the Court in prioritizing its review  
 9 of pending motions. The list should not include motions in limine  
 10 and other motions related to conduct of any trial. As an example  
 11 of the information the Court requests from the parties, a  
 12 suggestion follows. In complying with this directive, the parties  
 13 need not follow the suggested format precisely, but should provide  
 14 the essential information set forth below.

ECF No.	Movant	Motion	Adverse Parties	Opp'n ECF No.	Reply ECF No.
2976	Hitachi Parties (joinder by Toshiba, ECF No. 3010)	Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Lack of Evidence of Participation in Alleged Conspiracy	IPPs, Electrograph, Circuit City, Best Buy, Target, Sears, Interbond, Office Depot, CompuCom, Costco, P.C. Richard & Son, Schultze, and Tech Data	3274	X

24 If for any reason (e.g., settlement) a dispositive motion yet to be  
 25 decided is in whole or part no longer active against some of the  
 26 initially adverse parties but remains active against others or  
 27 requires review for only certain issues, the parties shall include  
 28 ///

1 the motion and indicate no further review by the Court is required  
2 by using ~~strikethrough~~, footnote, or some other means.

3 As these six lists or submissions will require coordination  
4 among different parties and may be more or less readily available,  
5 they may be filed together in a single document or as up to six  
6 separate documents filed at different times in the manner described  
7 below. However, all responsive documents must reference they are  
8 in satisfaction of this order and must be filed not later than 20  
9 calendar days prior to the status conference, unless otherwise  
10 specified herein.

11 The document(s) should be submitted via ECF, in electronic  
12 format to the Court's proposed order email inbox,  
13 SCpo@cand.uscourts.gov, and via a printed courtesy copy to  
14 Chambers. The requirements set forth in the Court's January 15,  
15 2015 Order, ECF No. 3398, regarding updates to the local rules with  
16 respect to this case remain in effect as applied to all other case  
17 filings.

18

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20

21 Dated: June 23, 2015



---

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

# Attachment

MDL No. 1917 In Re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation

Member cases:

[3:08-cv-00178-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-00309-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06433-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-05981-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06279-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06303-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06331-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06225-SC](#)

[3:07-cv-06416-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-00494-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01056-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01032-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-00337-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01345-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01108-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01364-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01371-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01319-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01621-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01622-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01623-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01624-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01626-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01627-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01721-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-00614-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01807-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01559-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01983-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01984-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-01985-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02024-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02023-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02204-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02205-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02206-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-02980-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-03493-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-04063-SC](#)

[3:08-cv-05521-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-01656-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-05513-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-06275-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-06276-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-06397-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-06396-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-05502-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-06205-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-05381-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-05515-SC](#)

[3:11-cv-05514-SC](#)

[3:12-cv-01998-SC](#)

[3:12-cv-02648-SC](#)

[3:12-cv-02649-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-00157-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-02171-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05724-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05723-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-01173-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-02776-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-03234-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05668-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05727-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05726-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05725-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05261-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05262-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05264-SC](#)

[3:13-cv-05686-SC](#)

[3:14-cv-02058-SC](#)

[3:14-cv-02510-SC](#)

Related Case: [3:12-mc-80151-SC](#)

Cases in other courts: 13-80210

14-16817